

## 27 JUL 2007

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PATTON BOGGS, L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 3000 DALLAS TX 75201

In re Application of

LUI et al.

U.S. Application No. 10/578,581

PCT No.: PCT/SG04/00366

Int. Filing Date: 06 November 2004 : DECISIO

Priority Date: 06 November 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 023949.0104PTUS

For: DOCUMENT IMAGE

ENCODING/DECODING

DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER

37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed 19 March 2007, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of priority to a prior-filed provisional application.

## The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

This pending nonprovisional application was filed on 06 November 2004, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/517,928, which was filed on 06 November 2003, and for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed application. An incorporation by reference statement added after an application's filing date is not effective because no new matter can be added to an application after its filing date (see 35 U.S.C. § 132(a)). If an incorporation by reference statement is included in an amendment to the specification to add a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 after the filing date of the application, the amendment would not be proper. When a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 is submitted after the filing of an application, the reference to the prior application cannot include an incorporation by reference statement of the prior application. See Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980). Note MPEP §§ 201.06(c) and 608.04(b).

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) and either an Application Data Sheet or a proper amendment (complying with 37 CFR 1.121 or 37 CFR 1.76(b)(5)) deleting the incorporation by reference statement, are required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents

Post Office Box 1450

By hand:

**Customer Service Window** 

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 40l Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Anthony Smith at 571-272-3298.

Boris Milef

Legal Examiner

Office of PCT Legal Administration